

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------|----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| 10/675,119 | 09/30/2003 | Atsushi Shinozaki | KAW-0046 | 4968 | |
| 23413 | 7590 12/06/200 | i | EXAMINER | | |
| | COLBURN, LLP | KIANNI, KAVEH C | | | |
| | ROAD SOUTH LD. CT 06002 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| , | | | 2883 | 2883 DATE MAILED: 12/06/2005 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 12/06/2009 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|------------------|--|
| 10/675,119 | SHINOZAKI ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Kianni C. Kaveh | 2883 | |

| | Kianni C. Kaveh | 2883 | |
|--|---|--|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 22 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS | S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | OR ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in | Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | rce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH | g date of the final rejecti | on. |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date | | 136(a) and the appropria | te extension fee |
| have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi | ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp | pliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be | filed within two month | s of the date of |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but prior to the date of filing a brief | will not be entered b | ecause |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co | | | coadsc |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | | ,, | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | | | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | ected claims. | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | * ** | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | · | • | • |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | II be entered and an e | explanation of |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | nt before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is | t be entered s necessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome all rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fai | ls to provide a |
| The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attach | ned. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | t does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I | No(s) | |
| | | | |
| | 1 | | Lee |
| | KAVEH K | KAMINER | See nex |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Application No. 10/675,119

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the new limitaions inserted into claims such as 'aconnection part of' raise new issues that would reqiure further consideration and/or search .

KAVEH KIANNI PRIMARY EXAMINER